

<b>Family Name</b>	Gaynon
<b>Given Name</b>	M
<b>Person ID</b>	1280514
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFEMGaynon (Pocket Nook)_Redacted.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	Gaynon
<b>Given Name</b>	M
<b>Person ID</b>	1280514
<b>Title</b>	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Pocket Nook plans may not be legally compliant because the area along the Newton Road and St Helen"s Road is already congested and it is already difficult to walk along any pavement without gasping or covering the face to avoid the toxins from traffic fumes. 600 more homes, in an already contested area, will mean at least 600 more cars. This cannot meet LEGAL clean air targets.</p> <p>The landowner does not want to sell his land. REDACTED TEXT Landowners should not be forced to face upheaval and stress. The landowner"s family should not be put under such stress. Their mental health should be safeguarded. Wigan council should not ignore their LEGAL duty of care to its citizens / council tax payers.</p> <p>It is not possible to create such small "green infrastructure corridors"for wildlife. Prince Charles, our future King has highlighted the importance of protecting wildlife and any areas of green space left. Deer are seen in the area and other protected species. Trampling over, CONCRETING / yet more tarmac in this already congested area would NOT be legal.</p> <p>HS2 may not go ahead. The MP Esther McVey has recently called for the "white elephant"HS2 to be scrapped. This railway would just shoot through the area. Leigh does not have a railway station. Fares would be astronomical and would never reap a return before becoming obsolete. The BILLIONS spent on this should be spent on sorting out the basics - a linked metro line. Furthermore, the COVID pandemic has changed the way people work - many employers, (HMRC included) NOW, with the access to internet services now adopt a different approach to commuting - advising working / liaising/ organising on-line meetings. Travel to or from London should not be necessary. Is it unfair or illegal to spend money on, and subsidise transport for the wealthy?</p>

<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Re-think and scrap the whole plan. Sort out Leigh town centre.</p> <p>Do not 'compulsory purchase land. Do not cause more on-going stress to the landowner.</p> <p>Stop increasing the levels of pollution from yet more development and associated cars.</p> <p>Do not further endanger local wildlife and fauna.</p> <p>Keep up to date with technological development. Working from home may be the new, post COVID, norm. Why travel to / from London? Travel is time-wasting for employers.</p> <p>Do not spend cash unevenly - transport for the rich as in the form of HS2 , which will be obsolete long before benefits are possibly reaped. Connect Leigh to GM via a cleaner / greener metro link.</p> <p>Do not endanger life through the creation of yet another road - the Atherleigh Way - Pocket Nook road. The A580 is already congested and traffic from there onto the Atherleigh Way backs up - further back than the slip road. This will be apparent IF commuting levels ever return to pre-COVID levels.</p>
--	---